

16/10/20

Exam appeal committee meeting is conducted on 16/10/2020 @ 3.00 pm

Grievance reported

Akshaya.p (18PGBT003) - II M.Sc Biotechnology has appealed to the committee to review her course named Clinical pathology - 18PGBT003 where she appeared for the end Semester Examinations on 16.09.2020

She pointed out that her mark should be high compared to actuals.

Recommendations

The above said issue was properly analysed and found

- 1) she has appeared the examination on - 16.09.2020.
- 2) She scored 42/75 marks in first valuation by the external
- 3) Her mark in second valuation is 67/75 by the internal Examiner Dr. Nirmala.
- 4) As the difference 2 marks was more than 12, the paper was allotted for third valuation

and scored 49/75, valued by the chairman of the Board - Dr. R. Vijayaraghavan

Finally the result of her clinical pathology was 46/75 (Average of 1st and 3rd valuation)

The committee suggest to value the paper again by the another Internal Examiner - Dr. S. Meenakshi Sundaram.

She scored 48/75

Now, the Average of expert valuation and the first valuation 45/75.

So, the committee recommends that there is no change in the marks already published...

Members present:

1. Dr. K. Mythili Gnanapriza - COE G.M. 16/10/20
2. Dr. M. Karagaarthnam - HOD-commerce 10/10/20
3. Dr. N. Shanil - HOD-BBA
4. Dr. R. Vijayaraghavan - HOD-Biotech 10/10/20

PRINCIPAL
16/10/20

16/3/22 Exam Appeal committee meeting is conducted on 16/3/22 @ 11.30.am.

Grievance Reported.

Seilakshmi (20PG-MB035) - II M.Sc., Microbiology has appealed to the committee to review her ESE paper Biotechnology and IAR (18PG-MBC311) and consider for third valuation.

She pointed out she is not satisfied with the marks she scored.
(e) 33 marks after two valuations.

Recommendations:

The above said issue was properly analysed and found that,

- 1) she has appeared for the exam on 07.02.2022 (FN)
- 2). She scored 60/75 in First valuation by External Examiner and 42/75 in second valuation by Internal Examiner, Dr. Karimani. Dr. David Jayaseelan. As the difference of marks between Internal and External Examiners was 18, the same paper was considered for third valuation by Dr. T. H. Sukirtha, chairperson of Microbiology Board.

3) In that she scored 24/75 and

The average of 24 and 42 was considered as her final mark. So her mark was 33/75.

The committee considering the welfare of the student, decided to value her paper again. Dr. Karimani, course teacher was involved for 4th valuation.

She scored 35/75.

Now the average of second valuation and subject teacher valuation shall be considered as final mark. So the average of 42 and 35 is 39/75.

So the committee recommends that there is change in the marks already published. Instead of 33, her marks shall be 39/75.

Members Present

1. Dr. K. Mythili Manojaya, COE - G. My 15/3/2022
2. Dr. M. Kanayalathinam - Dean Commerce 17/3
3. Dr. N. Shani, Dean, Management studies - 17/3/22
4. Dr. T. H. Sukirtha, HOD, Microbiology - 17/3/22

Principal

11.7.2022 Exam Appeal committee meeting
conducted on 11.7.2022 at 4.00 pm.

Grievance Reported:

Three students of II M.Sc Food science and nutrition, Mahima.M, Niroja.R and Sherin Joseph have raised a complaint that their internal marks for project viva voce (19PG1ENV401) is not satisfactory. They also quoted that their guides were not given opportunity to award marks.

Enquiry conducted:

The students were called for an enquiry on 5.7.2022 and they claimed that they deserve more internal marks. They also said that they have published their work in American Journal of Food Technology and have participated in poster presentation conducted by the Department.

As the HOD of Food science was on leave during 5.7.2022, central valuation happened during 6.7.2022 to 9.7.2022, enquiry was postponed to 11.7.2022.

On 11.7.2022, HOD and the Guide of the above students were called for the enquiry. The guides were saying that they were not given

Opportunity to award marks for their students. They said that HOD has given marks to all the students (Both Internal mark and external mark). They also given a new mark which their students deserve.

After discussion, the Principal, COE and Dean, School of Management have given one day time to discuss and reconsider the marks awarded already.

On 12.7.2022, the HOD of food science and nutrition and the Faculty members reviewed the internal marks of all students. After examining, they approached the committee for approval on 14.7.2022.

Recommendations:-

The new Internal marks for 19PCPNV401 was reviewed by the committee along with Dean, school of life sciences. It is decided to consider the new marks for Internal Assessment considering the merit of the students. The same mark (Revised) shall be incorporated in the result of ESE. and the above students shall be informed through mail.

Members Present:

Dr. B. Anikudhan - Principal

Dr. K. Mythili Gnanapriya - COE

Dr. N. Shani - Dean, Management - School of

Dr. M. Thangavel - Dean school of Life Sciences

Dr. Swarnalatha - HOD, FSN

Dr. Kumarakuru - Asst. prof, FSN

Dr. Gowtham Raj - Asst. prof, FSN

Dr. Asma (Sathya) - Asst. prof, FSN

Q. Met 15/7/2022
S. Sathya 15/7/22
S. Sathya 15/7/22
S. Sathya 15/7/22
S. Sathya 15/7/22